






SURVEY OF CCDEH MEMBERSHIP ON LOCAL FOOD RECALL CAPACITY



1. Do you respond to Class I, Class II or Class III food recalls?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Only Class I 	43.9%	18
All of the above 	46.3%	19
None of the above 	9.8%	4
<i>answered question</i>		41
<i>skipped question</i>		0

2. If YES to question 1, is your decision to respond to a recall based on whether there are reported illnesses, simply a laboratory confirmed pathogen in the product or other reasons?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Reported illnesses 	57.9%	22
Lab analysis 	55.3%	21
Response Resources 	39.5%	15
Other (please specify) 	34.2%	13
<i>answered question</i>		38
<i>skipped question</i>		3

3. Do you consider a product subject to recall to be adulterated under CalCode section 113732?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	80.6%	29
No 	19.4%	7
<i>answered question</i>		36
<i>skipped question</i>		5








4. With what activities and with what consistency would your EH department respond to a food recall?






	NEVER	SOMETIMES	MOSTLY	ALWAYS	Response Count
Onsite retail facility recall effectiveness checks	5.0% (2)	72.5% (29)	15.0% (6)	7.5% (3)	40
Onsite retail facility visits/no removals just referrals	18.9% (7)	73.0% (27)	5.4% (2)	2.7% (1)	37
Direct communication with retail outlets/personal phone calls	0.0% (0)	36.6% (15)	41.5% (17)	22.0% (9)	41
Indirect communication with retail outlets/emails etc.	32.5% (13)	57.5% (23)	2.5% (1)	7.5% (3)	40
Indirect communication with public through media	37.5% (15)	60.0% (24)	2.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	40
No action	36.7% (11)	46.7% (14)	16.7% (5)	0.0% (0)	30
				answered question	41
				skipped question	0



5. If funding for recalls was provided with what activities and with what consistency would your EH department respond to a food recall?

	NEVER	SOMETIMES	MOSTLY	ALWAYS	Response Count
Onsite retail facility recall effectiveness checks	0.0% (0)	43.9% (18)	36.6% (15)	19.5% (8)	41
Onsite retail facility visits/no removals just referrals	8.3% (3)	61.1% (22)	25.0% (9)	5.6% (2)	36
Direct communication with retail outlets/personal phone calls	0.0% (0)	29.3% (12)	36.6% (15)	34.1% (14)	41
Indirect communication with retail outlets/emails etc.	5.3% (2)	44.7% (17)	15.8% (6)	34.2% (13)	38
Indirect communication with public through media	15.0% (6)	62.5% (25)	15.0% (6)	7.5% (3)	40
No action	53.8% (14)	38.5% (10)	3.8% (1)	3.8% (1)	26
<i>answered question</i>					41
<i>skipped question</i>					0

6. What is the estimated annual cost of your department's activities in response to all food recalls?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Over \$100,000 	2.4%	1
Between \$100,000 and 50,000 	4.9%	2
Between \$50,000 and \$25,000 	7.3%	3
Between \$25,000 and \$10,000 	22.0%	9
Between \$10,000 and \$1,000 	31.7%	13
Less than \$1,000 	14.6%	6
Unsure 	17.1%	7
<i>answered question</i>		41
<i>skipped question</i>		0

7. What fund sources do your department use to cover recall activity costs?			Response Percent	Response Count
Exclusively Retail Food Program fees			48.8%	20
Mainly Retail Food Program Fees			26.8%	11
Partially Retail Food Program fees			9.8%	4
No retail Food program Fees			2.4%	1
Other, please identify source			19.5%	8
<i>answered question</i>				41
<i>skipped question</i>				0

8. In order to increase your participation in food recalls above current levels, would your department expect full cost recovery from Federal, State or Industry?			Response Percent	Response Count
Yes - 100% of costs			80.0%	32
If No, what percentage?			20.0%	8
<i>answered question</i>				40
<i>skipped question</i>				1

